arXiv:1601.03157v1 [math.RA] 13 Jan 2016

STRUCTURE OF INVERSE ELEMENTS OF CLIFFORD ALGEBRA OF DIMENSION AT MOST TWO TO THE FIFTH POWER

YUKA SUZUKI, NAOYA YAMAGUCHI

ABSTRACT. A Clifford algebra of two to the fifth power dimension is applicable to computer graphics. In particular, one needs to compute inverse elements of the Clifford algebra. The inverse formulas for elements of Clifford algebras of dimensions less than or equal to two the fifth power are known by direct calculation. The formulas have some structure. However, we did not know the reason for the formulas have the structure.

In this paper, we give the reason by some anti-isomorphisms on subspaces of Clifford algebras. In addition, we describe constants in the formulas for Clifford algebras of dimensions at most two to the fourth power.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Clifford algebra (a geometric algebra) of dimension 2^5 is applicable to computer graphics([2] and [5]). In particular, one needs to compute inverse elements of the Clifford algebra. Let K be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $Cl_{p,q}$ the Clifford algebra over K of the dimension less than or equal to 2^5. The inverse formula for elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ is known([1], [6] and [7]). The formula has the following structure:

(1). The formula does not depend on $p,\ q$ and K.

Recall that the algebraic structure of $Cl_{p,q}$ is determined by natural number $p,\ q$ and K.

(2). The formula shows that the inverse can be expressed as a products of special factors.

However, the formula in the above papers was obtained by direct calculation, so, the reason for having structure is not clear.

In this paper, we explain the reason by some anti-isomorphisms on subspaces of $Cl_{p,q}$. In addition, we describe the constant in the formula for $Cl_{p,q}$ of the dimension at most 2^4.

1.1. Inverse formula.

The theorem for the inverse formula for $Cl_{p,q}$ is as below.

Date: January 14, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A66; Secondary 68W99; 16Z99.

Key words and phrases. Clifford algebra; geometric algebra; inverse; discriminant; anti-isomorphism; invariant subspace.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and $Cl_{p,q}$ the Clifford algebra over K. If $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ is invertible, there exists $D_{p,q}(\alpha) \in K$ such that*

$$\alpha^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha) & p+q = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha) = \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha)\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_+(\alpha) & p+q = 3, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_+(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_-(\alpha)) & p+q = 4, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_+(\alpha))\psi(\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha))\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha) & p+q = 5. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, α is invertible if and only if $D_{p,q}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

The maps φ_+ and φ_- are anti-isomorphisms on $Cl_{p,q}$ and φ is the composition map $\varphi_+ \circ \varphi_-$. The map ψ is K-linear on $Cl_{p,q}$. These maps satisfy $f \circ f = \mathrm{Id}$ and these compositions are commutative.

1.2. Inverse compositional method. We give an inverse compositional method for $Cl_{p,q}$ of the dimension less than or equal to 2^5. By this method, we can understand the structure. The method uses anti-isomorphisms φ_+, φ_-, K-linear map ψ and invariant subspaces of $Cl_{p,q}$. We explain the main points of the inverse compositional method.

Let $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ be invertible, f an anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$ such that $f \circ f = \mathrm{Id}$ and define the invariant subspace for f by $(Cl_{p,q})^f = \{a \in Cl_{p,q} \mid f(a) = a\}$. Then we have $\alpha f(\alpha) \in (Cl_{p,q})^f$ is invertible. If $(Cl_{p,q})^f = K\{1\} = \{x1 \mid x \in K\} \cong K$,

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha f(\alpha)} f(\alpha)$$

where we regard $\alpha f(\alpha)$ as the element of K. If $(Cl_{p,q})^f \neq K\{1\}$, we find an anti-isomorphism $g : (Cl_{p,q})^f \to Cl_{p,q}$ such that $g \circ g = \mathrm{Id}$. Let $\beta = \alpha f(\alpha)$. Then we have $\beta g(\beta) \in (Cl_{p,q})^g$. If $(Cl_{p,q})^g = K\{1\}$ and $\beta g(\beta) \neq 0$,

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{1}{\beta g(\beta)} f(\alpha) g(\beta)$$

where we regard $\beta g(\beta)$ as the element of K. We iterate the method until an invariant subspace is $K\{1\}$. In fact, this proccess always end in finite iterations.

Therefore, the inverse can be expressed as a products of special factors. On the other hand, we select φ_+, φ_- and ψ as anti-isomorphisms that do not depend on p, q and K. That is, the formula does not depend on p, q and K.

1.3. Calculation of the discriminant. The constant $D_{p,q}(\alpha)$ in the formula is a discriminant. That is, $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ is invertible if and only if $D_{p,q}(\alpha) \neq 0$. We describe the constant $D_{p,q}(\alpha)$ where $1 \leq p+q \leq 4$ in Subsection 4.8.

2. Definition of Clifford algebras

In this section, we recall the definition of Clifford algebras.

Let K be a field of characteristic 0.

Definition 2. *We define the Clifford algebra $Cl_{p,q}$ as a K-algebra with a basis $\{1\} \cup \{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}$ having the following relations.*

(1) $e_ie_j + e_je_i = 0 \quad (i \neq j)$.
(2) $e_i^2 = -1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq p)$.
(3) $e_i^2 = 1 \quad (p+1 \leq i \leq p+q)$.

Where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ assume that $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p+q = n$.

Note that the dimension of the Clifford algebra $Cl_{p,q}$ is 2^n.

3. Special involution and invariant subspace

In this section, we define the special involution and the invariant subspace. The special involution is an anti-isomorphism satisfying a special condition on K-algebras, and the invariant subspace is the subspace of K-algebras that is invariant under the special involution.

3.1. Anti-isomorphisms on Clifford algebras. First, we define the standard form of some elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ in order to define two anti-isomorphisms on $Cl_{p,q}$. Next, we define two anti-isomorphisms on $Cl_{p,q}$.

Definition 3. *Let $\alpha = \varepsilon e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ and $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le n$ where ε is 1 or -1. We call $\varepsilon e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ the standard form of α.*

Definition 4. *We define the K-linear map $\varphi_+ : Cl_{p,q} \to Cl_{p,q}$ by*

$$\varphi_+(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}$$

where we do not assume that $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ is of the standard form. We call φ_+ the positive anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$.

In order to show that φ_+ is well-defined, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *If $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have $e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2 = e_{j_1}^2e_{j_2}^2\cdots e_{j_l}^2$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}$ be the standard form of $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ where ε is 1 or -1. We show that $\varepsilon e_{t_1}^2e_{t_2}^2\cdots e_{t_m}^2 = e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2$. The element $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ contains an odd number of e_{t_s} $(1 \le s \le m)$. On the other hand, if $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ contains $e \notin \{e_{t_1}, e_{t_2}, \ldots, e_{t_m}\}$, the number of e is an even. Therefore, $\varepsilon e_{t_1}^2e_{t_2}^2\cdots e_{t_m}^2 = e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2$. Similarly, since $\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}$ is the standard form of $e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, we can show that $\varepsilon e_{t_1}^2e_{t_2}^2\cdots e_{t_m}^2 = e_{j_1}^2e_{j_2}^2\cdots e_{j_l}^2$. This complete the proof. □

We show that φ_+ is well-defined.

Lemma 6. *If $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have*

$$\varphi_+(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = \varphi_+(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}).$$

Proof. Let $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2 &= (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) \\ &= (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that $e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2(e_{j_l}e_{j_{l-1}}\cdots e_{j_1}) = (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})e_{j_1}^2e_{j_2}^2\cdots e_{j_l}^2$. From Lemma 5, we have $e_{j_l}e_{j_{l-1}}\cdots e_{j_1} = e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}$. This complete the proof. □

By definition of φ_+, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. *For any $\alpha,\ \beta \in Cl_{p,q}$, $\varphi_+(\alpha\beta) = \varphi_+(\beta)\varphi_+(\alpha)$ and $\varphi_+(\varphi_+(\alpha)) = \alpha$. That is, φ_+ is an anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$.*

Lemma 8. *Let $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ be of the standard form. Then we have*

$$\varphi_+(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} & k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4, \\ -e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} & k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the relation $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ $(i \neq j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_+(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) &= e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}.\end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof. □

Definition 9. *We define the K-linear map $\varphi_- : Cl_{p,q} \to Cl_{p,q}$ by*

$$\varphi_-(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = (-1)^k e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}$$

where we do not assume that $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ is of the standard form. We call φ_- the negative anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$.

In order to show that φ_- is well-defined, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *If $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have $k \equiv l \pmod 2$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}$ be the standard form of $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ where ε is 1 or -1. We show that $m \equiv k \pmod 2$. The element $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ contains an odd number of e_{t_s} $(1 \leq s \leq m)$. On the other hand, if $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ contains $e \notin \{e_{t_1}, e_{t_2}, \ldots, e_{t_m}\}$, the number of e is an even. Therefore, $m \equiv k \pmod 2$. Similarly, we can show that $m \equiv l \pmod 2$ since $\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}$ is the standard form of $e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$. This complete the proof. □

We show that φ_- is well-defined.

Lemma 11. *If $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have*

$$\varphi_-(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = \varphi_-(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}).$$

Proof. Let $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} = e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2 &= (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})(e_{i1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k})\\ &= (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that $e_{i_1}^2e_{i_2}^2\cdots e_{i_k}^2(e_{j_l}e_{j_{l-1}}\cdots e_{j_1}) = (e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1})e_{j_1}^2e_{j_2}^2\cdots e_{j_l}^2$. From Lemma 5 and 10, we have $(-1)^l e_{j_l}e_{j_{l-1}}\cdots e_{j_1} = (-1)^k e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}$. This complete the proof. □

By definition of φ_-, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 12. *For any $\alpha,\ \beta \in Cl_{p,q}$, $\varphi_-(\alpha\beta) = \varphi_-(\beta)\varphi_-(\alpha)$ and $\varphi_-(\varphi_-(\alpha)) = \alpha$. That is, φ_- is an anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$.*

Lemma 13. *Let $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ be of the standard form. Then we have*

$$\varphi_-(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} & k \equiv 0, 3 \pmod 4,\\ -e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} & k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4.\end{cases}$$

Proof. From the relation $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ $(i \neq j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_-(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) &= (-1)^k e_{i_k}e_{i_{k-1}}\cdots e_{i_1}\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}.\end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof. □

After this, we regard $k \equiv l$ as $k \equiv l \pmod 4$.

3.2. Special involution and invariant subspace. In this subsection, we define the special involution and the invariant subspace of K-algebras.

Definition 14. *Let A be a subspace of K-algebra and f a linear map on the K-algebra having the following properties. For any $\alpha,\ \beta \in A$,*

(1) $f(\alpha\beta) = f(\beta)f(\alpha)$.
(2) $f(f(\alpha)) = \alpha$.
(3) $\{f(a) \mid a \in A\} = A$.

Then we call the map f a special involution for A on the K-algebra.

Note that by properties (3) and (4), the restriction $f|_A$ is a bijection. We must be careful about it is not necessarily $\alpha\beta \in A$.

We define the subspace of K-algebras that is invariant to a map.

Definition 15. *Let A be a subspace of K-algebra and f a map on the K-algebra. We define A^f by*

$$A^f = \{\alpha \in A \mid f(\alpha) = \alpha\}.$$

We call A^f the f-invariant subspace of A.

We obtain the following theorem for the invariant subspace.

Theorem 16. *Let A be a subspace of K-algebra and f a special involution for A on the K-algebra. For any $\alpha \in A,\ \alpha + f(\alpha)$ and $\alpha f(\alpha)$ are elements of A^f.*

Proof. We show that for any $\alpha \in A,\ f(\alpha+f(\alpha)) = \alpha+f(\alpha)$ and $f(\alpha f(\alpha)) = \alpha f(\alpha)$. From property (4), $f(\alpha) \in A$. From properties (1) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha + f(\alpha)) &= f(\alpha) + f(f(\alpha)) \\ &= f(\alpha) + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

From properties (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha f(\alpha)) &= f(f(\alpha))f(\alpha) \\ &= \alpha f(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof. □

Crearly, φ_+ and φ_- are the special involutions. In order to describe the φ_+-invariant subspaces and the φ_--invariant subspaces, we define the k-length subspace L_k.

Definition 17. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The k-length subspace L_k is given by*

$$L_k = \langle e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} \mid 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le n\rangle_K$$

where we define $L_0 = K\{1\} = \{x1 \mid x \in K\}$.

Note that $Cl_{p,q} = \oplus_{i=0}^{p+q} L_i$. From Lemma 8 and 13, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 18. *Let φ_+ be a positive anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$ and φ_- a negative anti-isomorphism on $Cl_{p,q}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_+} &= \oplus_{i\equiv 0,1} L_i, \\ (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_-} &= \oplus_{i\equiv 0,3} L_i. \end{aligned}$$

4. Inverse compositional method

In this section, we give an inverse compositional method for Clifford algebras of at most 2^5 dimensions.

The method is as follows.

(1) Let $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q}$.
(2) We find special involution maps for $Cl_{p,q}$ and select one of them (we call it f_1).
(3) Let $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i f_i(\alpha_i) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{f_i}$.
(4) We find special involution maps for $(Cl_{p,q})^{f_i}$ and select one of them (we call it f_{i+1}).
(5) We iterate (3) and (4) until $(Cl_{p,q})^{f_m} = K$.

Then we have $D = \alpha_1 f_1(\alpha_1) f_2(\alpha_2) \cdots f_m(\alpha_m) \in K$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\alpha_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{D} f_1(\alpha_1) f_2(\alpha_2) \cdots f_m(\alpha_m).$$

As we shall explain later, if α_1 is invertible, $f_i(\alpha_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ is invertible. Therefore, $D = \alpha_1 f_1(\alpha_1) f_2(\alpha_2) \cdots f_m(\alpha_m) \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible. That is, $D = D(\alpha_1)$ is a determinant whether α_1 is invertible.

We remark that how to take f_i $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ is not necessarily unique.

4.1. Compositional method for special involutions. In this subsection, we introduce a compositional method for special involutions.

Let $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}$ be of the standard form with $1 \leq k \leq p+q$. We define a K-linear map $f : Cl_{p,q} \to Cl_{p,q}$ by

$$f(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}) = \delta(k) e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}$$

where $\delta(k)$ is 1 or -1. We call the map f the length δ map. By definition, the length δ map f satisfies $f \circ f = \mathrm{Id}$. That is, if f is an anti-isomorphism, then f is a special involution.

Example 19. *Let f be the length δ map. If*

$$\delta(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4, \\ -1 & k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4, \end{cases}$$

the map f is the positive anti-isomorphism φ_+. If

$$\delta(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k \equiv 0, 3 \pmod 4, \\ -1 & k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4, \end{cases}$$

the map f is the negative anti-isomorphism φ_-.

In order to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the length δ map f is a special involution for a subset of $Cl_{p,q}$, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 20. *Let f be the length δ map and $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k},\ e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l} \in Cl_{p,q}$ of the standard form. Then we have*

$$f(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k} e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l}) = \delta(k+l-2s) e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k} e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l}$$

where s is the order of $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \cap \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l\}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}$ be the standard form of $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}$ where ε is 1 or -1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}) &= f(\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}) \\ &= \delta(m)(\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}) \\ &= \delta(k+l-2s)(\varepsilon e_{t_1}e_{t_2}\cdots e_{t_m}) \\ &= \delta(k+l-2s)e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the length δ map f is a special involution for a subset of $Cl_{p,q}$.

Lemma 21. *Let f be the length δ map and $S = \oplus_{i\in I}L_i \subset Cl_{p,q}$ where $I \subset \{0,1,\ldots,p+q\}$. Then, f is a special involution map for S if and only if*

$$\delta\left(k+l-2s\right) = \delta(k)\delta(l)(-1)^{kl-s}$$

for all $k,l \in I$, and for all $\max\{0, k+l-(p+q)\} \le s \le \min\{k,l\}$.

Proof. Let f be the length δ map, $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k},\ e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l} \in S$ are of the standard forms and s the order of $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k\}\cap\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_l\}$. By Lemma 20,

$$f(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}) = \delta(k+l-2s)e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}.$$

From the relation $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ $(i \neq j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l})f(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}) &= \delta(l)e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}\delta(k)e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} \\ &= \delta(k)\delta(l)(-1)^{(l-1)s+l(k-s)}e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l} \\ &= \delta(k)\delta(l)(-1)^{kl-s}e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l}) = f(e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_l})f(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k})$$

if and only if $\delta(k+l-2s) = \delta(k)\delta(l)(-1)^{kl-s}$. □

The above necessary and sufficient condition does not depend on p and q (does depend on $p+q$). As we shall see later, this is the reason for the inverse formulas do not depend on p and q.

We show that φ_+ and φ_- keep the invertibility.

Lemma 22. *If $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ is invertible, then $\varphi_+(\alpha)$ and $\varphi_-(\alpha)$ are invertible.*

Proof. We assume that $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ is invertible. From property (2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \varphi_+(1) \\ &= \varphi_+(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi_+(\alpha^{-1})\varphi_+(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi_+(\alpha)$ is invertible. Similarly, we can show that $\varphi_-(\alpha)$ is invertible. This complete the proof. □

Lemma 23. *Let f be φ_+ or φ_-. If $\alpha \in (Cl_{p,q})^f$ is invertible, $\alpha^{-1} \in (Cl_{p,q})^f$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (Cl_{p,q})^f$ be invertible. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= f(1) \\ &= f(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= f(\alpha^{-1})f(\alpha) \\ &= f(\alpha^{-1})\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\alpha^{-1} = f(\alpha^{-1})$. This complete the proof. □

We denote by φ the composition map $\varphi_+ \circ \varphi_-$ and ψ the length δ map defined by

$$\delta(k) = \begin{cases} -1 & k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for inverse formulas.

4.2. In the case of $p+q=1$. In this subsection, we give the inverse of invertible elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ where $p+q=1$.

For all $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q},\ \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_-} = K$ by Lemma 18. Therefore, there exists $D \in K$ such that $\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) = D$. If α_1 is invertible, $D \neq 0$ by Lemma 22 (remark that let $\alpha,\ \beta \in CL_{p,q}$ are invertible, then $\alpha\beta$ is invertible). If $D \neq 0$, we have

$$\alpha_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{D}\varphi_-(\alpha_1).$$

Hence $D \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

We calculate the discriminant D. Let $\alpha_1 = x1 + ye_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \\ &= (x1 + ye_1)(x1 - ye_1) \\ &= x^2 - y^2e_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D = x^2 - y^2e_1^2$.

4.3. In the case of $p+q=2$. In this subsection, we give the inverse of invertible elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ where $p+q=2$.

For all $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q},\ \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_-} = K$ by Lemma 18. Therefore, there exists $D \in K$ such that $\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) = D$. If α_1 is invertible, $D \neq 0$ by Lemma 22. If $D \neq 0$, we have

$$\alpha_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{D}\varphi_-(\alpha_1).$$

Hence $D \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

We calculate the discriminant D. Let $\alpha_1 = x1 + ye_1 + ze_2 + we_1e_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \\ &= (x1 + ye_1 + ze_2 + we_1e_2)(x1 - ye_1 - ze_2 - we_1e_2) \\ &= x^2 - y^2e_1^2 - z^2e_2^2 + w^2e_1^2e_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D = x^2 - y^2e_1^2 - z^2e_2^2 + w^2e_1^2e_2^2$.

4.4. In the case of $p+q=3$. In this subsection, we give the inverse of invertible elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ where $p+q=3$.

For all $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q},\ \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_+} = K \oplus L_1$ by Lemma 18. Let f_2 be a length δ map, $I=\{0,1\}$ and $S = K \oplus L_1$. If δ satisfies the following property, f_2 is a special involution for S since Lemma 21.

$$\delta\left(k+l-2s\right) = \delta(k)\delta(l)(-1)^{kl-s} \tag{1}$$

for all $k,l \in I,\ \max\{0, k+l-(p+q)\} \leq s \leq \min\{k,l\}$.

That is, we require that δ satisfies the following equations.

- When $(k,l,s)=(0,0,0)$ or $(1,1,1)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(0)=1$.
- When $(k,l,s)=(0,1,0)$ or $(1,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(1)=\delta(1)$.
- When $(k,l,s)=(1,1,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(2)=-1$.

Therefore, if $\delta(2)=-1,\ f_2$ is a special involution for S. In particular, we take $f_2=\varphi_-$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$. Then we have $\alpha_2\varphi_-(\alpha_2) \in S^{\varphi_-} = K$ by Theorem 16.

Therefore, there exists $D \in K$ such that $\alpha_2\varphi_-(\alpha_2) = D$. If α_1 is invertible, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. From Lemma 22, $\varphi_-(\alpha_2)$ is invertible. Therefore, if α_1 is invertible, we have $D \neq 0$. If $D \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

On the other hand, we can obtain the inverse of α_1 by the another composition.

From Lemma 18, $\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_-} = K \oplus L_3$. Let f_2 be a length δ map, $I=\{0,3\}$ and $S = K \oplus L_3$. We require that f_2 is a special involution map S.

Similarly as above, we require that δ satisfies the following equations.

- When $(k,l,s)=(0,0,0)$ or $(3,3,3)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(0)=1$.
- When $(k,l,s)=(0,3,0)$ or $(3,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(3)=\delta(3)$.

Therefore, f_2 is a special involution for S regardless of δ. In particular, we take $f_2=\varphi_+$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)$. Then we have $\alpha_2 f_2(\alpha_2) \in S^{\varphi_+} = K$ by Theorem 16.

Therefore, there exists $D' \in K$ such that $\alpha_2\varphi_+(\alpha_2) = D'$. If α_1 is invertible, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. From Lemma 22, $\varphi_+(\alpha_2)$ is invertible. Therefore, if α_1 is invertible, we have $D' \neq 0$. If $D' \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{D'}\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\varphi_+(\alpha_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{D'}\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\varphi_+(\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{D'}\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_+(\alpha_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D' \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

We show that $D = D'$. Remark that $\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \in K \oplus L_3$. Since $K \oplus L_3$ is the center of $Cl_{p,q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1))\alpha_1 \\ &= \varphi_-(\alpha_1)\alpha_1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D &= \alpha_1^{-1}D\alpha_1 \\ &= \alpha_1^{-1}\left(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\right)\alpha_1 \\ &= \varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\alpha_1 \\ &= \varphi_+(\alpha_1)\varphi_+(\varphi_-(\alpha_1))\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\alpha_1 \\ &= \varphi_+(\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\alpha_1 \\ &= \varphi_+(\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1))\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \\ &= \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\varphi_+(\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)) \\ &= D'.\end{aligned}$$

4.5. In the case of $p+q=4$. In this subsection, we give the inverse of invertible elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ where $p+q=4$.

For all $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q}$, $\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_+} = K \oplus L_1 \oplus L_4$ by Lemma 18. Let f_2 be a length δ map, $I = \{0,1,4\}$ and $S = K \oplus L_1 \oplus L_4$. We require that f_2 is a special involution for S.

Similarly as subsection 4.4, we require that δ satisfies the following equations.

- When $k = l = s \in \{0,1,4\}$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(0) = 1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,1,0)$ or $(1,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(1) = \delta(1)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,4,0)$ or $(4,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(4) = \delta(4)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,1,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(2) = -1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,4,1)$ or $(4,1,1)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(3) = -\delta(1)\delta(4)$.

Therefore, if $\delta(2) = -1$ and $\delta(3) = -\delta(1)\delta(4)$, f_2 is a special involution for S. In particular, we take $f_2 = \psi$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$. Then we have $\alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2) \in S^\psi = K$ by Theorem 16.

Therefore, there exists $D \in K$ such that $\alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2) = D$. If α_1 is invertible, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. From Lemma 23, $\alpha_2^{-1} \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \psi(1) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2\alpha_2^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2^{-1})\psi(\alpha_2).\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\psi(\alpha_2)$ is invertible. Therefore, if α_1 is invertible, we have $D \neq 0$. If $D \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

On the other hand, we can obtain the inverse of α_1 by the another composition.

From Lemma 18, $\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_-} = K \oplus L_3 \oplus L_4$. Let f_2 be a length δ map, $I = \{0,3,4\}$ and $S = K \oplus L_3 \oplus L_4$. We require that f_2 is a special involution for S.

Similarly as above, we require that δ satisfies the following equations.

- When $k = l = s \in \{0,3,4\}$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(0) = 1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,3,0)$ or $(3,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(3) = \delta(3)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,4,0)$ or $(4,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(4) = \delta(4)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (3,3,2)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(2) = -1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (3,4,3)$ or $(4,3,3)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(1) = -\delta(3)\delta(4)$.

Therefore, if $\delta(2) = -1$ and $\delta(1) = -\delta(3)\delta(4)$, f_2 is a special involution for S. In particular, we take $f_2 = \psi$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)$. Then we have $\alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2) \in S^\psi = K$ by Theorem 16.

Therefore, there exists $D' \in K$ such that $\alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2) = D'$. If α_1 is invertible, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. From Lemma 23, $\alpha_2^{-1} \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \psi(1) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2\alpha_2^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2^{-1})\psi(\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\psi(\alpha_2)$ is invertible. Therefore, if α_1 is invertible, we have $D' \neq 0$. If $D' \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{D'}\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{D'}\varphi_-(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_1\varphi_-(\alpha_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D' \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

By direct calculation, we have $D = D'$. We shall describe D in the Subsection 4.8.

4.6. In the case of $p+q = 5$. In this subsection, we give the inverse of invertible elements of $Cl_{p,q}$ where $p+q = 5$.

For all $\alpha_1 \in Cl_{p,q}$, $\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1) \in (Cl_{p,q})^{\varphi_+} = K \oplus L_1 \oplus L_4 \oplus L_5$ by Lemma 18. Let f_2 be a length δ map, $I = \{0,1,4,5\}$ and $S = K \oplus L_1 \oplus L_4 \oplus L_5$. We require that f_2 is a special involution for S.

Similarly as subsection 4.4, we require that δ satisfies the following equations.

- When $k = l = s \in \{0,1,4,5\}$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(0) = 1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,1,0)$ or $(1,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(1) = \delta(1)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,4,0)$ or $(4,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(4) = \delta(4)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (0,5,0)$ or $(5,0,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(5) = \delta(5)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,1,0)$ or $(4,4,3)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(2) = -1$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,4,1)$ or $(4,1,1)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(3) = -\delta(1)\delta(4)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,4,0)$ or $(4,1,0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(5) = \delta(1)\delta(4)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (1,5,1)$ or $(5,1,1)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(4) = \delta(1)\delta(5)$.
- When $(k,l,s) = (4,5,4)$ or $(5,4,4)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta(1) = \delta(4)\delta(5)$.

Therefore, if $\delta(2) = -1$ and $\delta(3) = -\delta(1)\delta(4) = -\delta(5)$, f_2 is a special involution for S. In particular, we take $f_2 = \psi$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$. Then we have $\alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2) \in S^\psi = K \oplus L_5$ by Theorem 16.

Let f_3 be a length δ' map, $I' = \{0, 5\}$ and $S' = K \oplus L_5$. We require that f_3 is a special involution for S'.

Similarly as above, we require that δ' satisfies the following equations.

- When $(k, l, s) = (0, 0, 0)$ or $(5, 5, 5)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta'(0) = 1$.
- When $(k, l, s) = (0, 5, 0)$ or $(5, 0, 0)$, the equation (1) derives $\delta'(5) = \delta'(5)$.

Therefore, f_3 is a special involution for S' regardless of δ'. In particular, we take $f_3 = \varphi_-$.

Let $\alpha_3 = \alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2)$. Then we have $\alpha_3\varphi_-(\alpha_3) \in (S')^{\varphi_-} = K$ by Theorem 16.

Therefore, there exists $D \in K$ such that $\alpha_3\varphi_-(\alpha_3) = D$. If α_1 is invertible, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. From Lemma 23, $\alpha_2^{-1} \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \psi(1) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2\alpha_2^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\alpha_2^{-1})\psi(\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\psi(\alpha_2)$ is invertible. Since $\alpha_3 = \alpha_2\psi(\alpha_2)$ is invertible, $\varphi_-(\alpha_3)$ is invertible by Lemma 22. Therefore, if α_1 is invertible, we have $D \neq 0$. If $D \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_2)\varphi_-(\alpha_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1))\varphi_-(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1))) \\ &= \frac{1}{D}\varphi_+(\alpha_1)\psi(\alpha_1\varphi_+(\alpha_1))\psi(\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1))\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi_-(\alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D \neq 0$ if and only if α_1 is invertible.

4.7. Inverse formulas. From Subsections 4.2 $\sim$ 4.6, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 24. *If $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ is invertible, there exists $D_{p,q}(\alpha) \in K$ such that*

$$\alpha^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha) & p+q = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha) = \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha)\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_+(\alpha) & p+q = 3, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_+(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_-(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_-(\alpha)) & p+q = 4, \\ \frac{1}{D_{p,q}(\alpha)}\varphi_+(\alpha)\psi(\alpha\varphi_+(\alpha))\psi(\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha))\varphi(\alpha)\varphi_-(\alpha) & p+q = 5. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, α is invertible if and only if $D_{p,q}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

4.8. Calculation of the discriminant. We describe the discriminant of $\alpha \in Cl_{p,q}$ where $1 \leq p+q \leq 4$. In order to describe the discriminant, we define the following notations.

Let $B_n = \{1\} \cup \{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}$ and $\{x_e \mid e \in B_n\}$ independent variables. For any α, $\beta \in B_n$, we define $x_{\alpha\beta} = x_{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}}$ where $\varepsilon e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ is the standard form of $\alpha\beta$.

By direct calculation, we obtain the following theorem for discriminants.

Theorem 25. *Let $\alpha = \sum_{e\in B_n} x_e e$. Then we have*

$$D_{p,q}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} x_1^2 - x_{e_1}^2 e_1^2 & p+q=1, \\ x_1^2 - x_{e_1}^2 e_1^2 - x_{e_2}^2 e_2^2 + x_{e_1e_2}^2 e_1^2 e_2^2 & p+q=2, \\ \sum_{e\in B_3'} C_3(e) - \sum_{e\in B_3\setminus B_3'} C_3(e) + C_3' & p+q=3, \\ \sum_{e\in B_4'} C_4(e) - \sum_{e\in B_4\setminus B_4'} C_4(e) + C_4' & p+q=4. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_3' &= \{1, e_1e_2e_3\}, \\ B_4' &= \{1, e_1e_2e_3, e_1e_2e_4, e_1e_3e_4, e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_3e_4\}, \\ C_3(e) &= \sum_{a\in B_3} x_a^2 x_{ae}^2 e^2, \\ C_3' &= 4\left(x_1x_{e_1e_2e_3} - x_{e_1}x_{e_2e_3} + x_{e_2}x_{e_1e_3} - x_{e_3}x_{e_1e_2}\right)^2 e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2, \\ C_4(e) &= \sum_{a\in B_4} x_a^2 x_{ae}^2 e^2, \\ C_4' &= C_4''(1,3;2;4) + C_4''(1,4;2;3) + C_4''(1,4;3;2) + C_4''(2,4;3;1) \\ &\quad - 4\Big\{\left(x_1x_{e_1e_2e_3e_4} - x_{e_1e_2}x_{e_3e_4} + x_{e_1e_3}x_{e_2e_4} - x_{e_1e_4}x_{e_2e_3}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(x_{e_1}x_{e_2e_3e_4} - x_{e_2}x_{e_1e_3e_4} + x_{e_3}x_{e_1e_2e_4} - x_{e_4}x_{e_1e_2e_3}\right)^2\Big\} e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2e_4^2, \\ C_4''(i,j;k;l) &= 4\Big\{\left(x_1x_{e_ie_je_k} - x_{e_i}x_{e_je_k} - x_{e_j}x_{e_ie_k} + x_{e_k}x_{e_ie_j}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(x_{e_l}x_{e_1e_2e_3e_4} - x_{e_ie_l}x_{e_je_ke_l} - x_{e_je_l}x_{e_ie_ke_l} + x_{e_ke_l}x_{e_ie_je_l}\right)^2\Big\} e_i^2e_j^2e_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments We are deeply grateful to Prof. Hiroyuki Ochiai who provided the helpful comments and suggestions. Also, we would like to thank our colleagues in the Graduate School of Mathematics of Kyushu University, in particular Cid Reyes.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Dadbeh, Inverse and determinant in 0 to 5 dimensional Clifford algebra. Preprint, arXiv:1104.0067v1.
[2] Leo Dorst, Daniel Fontjine, and Stephen Mann. *Geometric Algebra for Computer Science: An Object-Oriented Approach to Geometry.* The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Computer Graphics. Elserier Science, 2010.
[3] John P. Fletcher, Clifford Numbers and their Inverses Calculated using the Matrix Representation, Applications of Geometric Algebra in Computer Science and Engineering, Birkhäuser, 169–178, 2002.
[4] Gerald N. Hile, Matrix representations of Clifford algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications, Volume 128, 51–63, 1990.
[5] Alan Macdonald. A Survey of Geometric Algebra and Geometric Calculus ©. http://faculty.luther.ed/~macdonal/GA&GC.pdf, 2008.
[6] N. G. Marchuk, S. E. Martynova, Notions of determinant, spectrum, and Hermitian conjugation of Clifford algebra elements. Preprint, arXiv:0307043v1.
[7] D. S. Shirokov, Concepts of trace, determinant and inverse of Clifford algebra elements. Preprint, arXiv:1108.5447v1.

Yuka Suzuki
Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
y-suzuki@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Naoya Yamaguchi
Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
n-yamaguchi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp